

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE

KING'S BENCH DIVISION

(Ormerod, J.)

31st January, 1952

TIGG, J. C. v. THE MINISTER OF PENSIONS

JUDGMENT.

MR. JUSTICE ORMEROD : Merely because a doctor of eminence, and I have no doubt the doctor in this case was of very great eminence, is expressing a view contrary to the view expressed by the medical witnesses called on behalf of the Ministry, does not mean there is a doubt and the Appellant must be thereby entitled to a pension. It is a question of fact for the Tribunal. If they are satisfied on evidence of a certain question of fact, and clearly there was evidence here on which they could be satisfied, it is quite impossible for me to interfere.

The application is refused.